			E PHILIPPINE INC.	IN	VESTIG <i>A</i>	ATION R	EPORT	FORM (IRF)
		. (049) 545-7166 t			Inhouse Detection	n	Custo	omer Claim
-	Tax ner (c.s.)			Control	No.: IRF-10-000	14	Date Issued:	06-Oct-22
Custon	ner	EPPI		Attentio	n To	NOEMI CEPE	DA	
Item C	ode	515693100		Departi	nent	KPLIMA-PRO	DUCTION	
Item D	escription	CRATON BOX	(Date of	Detection	04-Oct-22		
Job Or	der Number	22536 DS		Section	Detected	INLINE QA		
	ILL	USTRATION O	F THE PROBLEM		Major		Minor	
Date				Lo	t Quantity (pcs.)	Reject Qu	antity (pcs.)	Reject Percentage
		138			598		50	8.36%
		Nature	of Defect:					
				DAMAGED				
	Total Paris			Section Detected INLINE QA Major Minor Lot Quantity (pcs.) Reject Quantity (pcs.) Reject Percentage 598 50 8.36% Nature of Defect: DAMAGED ITEM SHOULD BE IN GOOD CONDITION; NO OCCURRENCE OF DAMAGED Actual: DAMAGED OCCURRED ON THE BOTTOM FLAP				
	7-1	1		Actual:				
					DAMAG	GED OCCURRED	ON THE BOT	TOM FLAP
	NO. OF OCCURRE	ENCE	DISPOSITION		AREA OF OCC	CURRENCE / OR	IGIN	CONTENT
	First		Hold		Slotter	Gluir	ng	Material
	Recurrence Special Acceptance				EQOS	Verti	cal	Dimension
	No.: For Rework				Diecut	Othe	rs:	Appearance
	Date:		Reject / Disposal	Reject / Disposal Detaching				Process / Method
	Issued by		Checked by		Approved	by		Received by (Receiving Section)
	C. revalo QA-IE Staff		G. Magsino QA Supervispr		QA Asst. Mar	nager	- -	N. Cepeda lead/ Superv)sor
			I. INVESTIGAT	TION / AN	ALYSIS			
	DIRECT CAUSE: (A	nalyze the reaso	on of occurrence, why it happened?)		INDIRECT CAUS	E: (Analyze the r	eason of occurr	ence, why it leaked?)
0	Why 1:			Why 1:				
System / Training	Why 2:			Why 2:				
H / H	Why 3:			Why 3:				
Syste	Why 4:			Why 4:				
	Why 5:			Why 5:				
6	Why 1:			Why 1:				
Design / Toolings	Why 2:							
ر / ر	Why 3:			Why 3:				
esign	Why 4:			Why 4:				
"	Why 5:			Why 5:				
_	Why 1:			Why 1:				
Process / Material	Why 2:			Why 2:				4
s / Mi	Why 3:			Why 3:				
.oces	Why 4:			Why 4:				
<u> </u>	Why 5:			Why 5:				

R

KANEPACKAGE PHILIPPINE INC.

No. 5 Ring Road LISP II, Brgy. La Mesa, Calamba City, Laguna Telephone No. (049) 545-7166 to 69 Fax No. (049) 545-6302

INVESTIGATION REPORT FORM (IRF)

				FINAL CON	CLUSION			
	OCCURRENCE	E ROOTCAUSE		FINAL CONC	SEUSION		OUTFLOW ROOTCAUSE	
	OOOOKKENO	LINOTONOOL						
IMMEDIATE ACTION	to contain/ temporary	correct the pro	CORRECTIVE ACTION: (Actions to be done to ensure that the problem will not happen again)					
Sorting Result						Actions to be d	one to eliminate recurrence	Who / Whe
Le	ocation	Total Stock	NG	Total Good				
RM					System			
WIP					System			
=G					SP.			
. Orientation								
Date Time					Design /			
Title					Tools	11		и.
Attendees								
. Reworking								
Rework Quantity					Deces			
Total Good					Process			
Rework Percentage (Goo	d)							
II. QA ROOTCAUS	E VERIFICATIO	N (To be filled o	ut by QA In-	-charge)	Date Conduct	ed:	PIC:	
	Identified	d Rootcause					Recommendation	
					-			
		SOLD STREET		ION VERIFICATI	MARKET BETTER THE PARTY OF THE	ed out by QA I	•	
	Che	III. CORREC	CTIVE ACTI		ON (To be fill	ed out by QA I	n-charge) Remarks	
1st Verification of Action		SOLD STREET			MARKET BETTER THE PARTY OF THE	ed out by QA I	•	
1st Verification of Action 2nd Verification of Action	1	SOLD STREET		Implen	nented?	ed out by QA I	•	
	n	SOLD STREET		[] Yes	nented?	ed out by QA I	•	
2nd Verification of Actio	n n	SOLD STREET		[]Yes []Yes []Yes] No	ed out by QA I	•	
2nd Verification of Actio	n n	SOLD STREET		[]Yes	[]No	ed out by QA I	•	
2nd Verification of Actio 3rd Verification of Actio Effectiveness of Action	n n	ecked by	Date Date	[]Yes []Yes []Yes []Yes	No No No No No No No No	red effective / c	•	ocurs within 5 consecutive improvement action.
2nd Verification of Actio 3rd Verification of Actio Effectiveness of Action	n n	ecked by	Date Date	[]Yes []Yes []Yes []Yes []Yes	No No No No No No No No	red effective / c	Remarks	ocurs within 5 consecutive improvement action.
2nd Verification of Actio 3rd Verification of Actio Effectiveness of Action	n n	ecked by	Date Date	[]Yes []Yes []Yes []Yes []Yes []Yes] No] No [] No [] No [] No It is considerable to the last of t	red effective / c	Remarks	improvement action.
2nd Verification of Actional Structure of Actional Structure of Actional Effectiveness of Actional Note: If no same defect deliveries or 3rd verifications of Actional Structure	n n ts / problems ocation of action si	ecked by	Date Date	[]Yes []Yes []Yes []Yes []Yes []Yes] No [] No [] No [] No [] No tion is considerable be re-issue	red effective / c	Remarks losed. If the same problem od department to provide new	improvement action.
2nd Verification of Actional Status:	n n ts / problems ocation of action si	ecked by	Date utive deliveri	[]Yes []Yes []Yes []Yes []Yes []Yes	[] No tion is considerable be re-issued by:	red effective / c	Remarks losed. If the same problem od department to provide new	improvement action.